arXiv:1702.05623v1 [math.DG] 18 Feb 2017

ELLIPTIC REGULARIZATION OF THE ISOMETRIC IMMERSION PROBLEM

MICHAEL T. ANDERSON

Abstract. We introduce an elliptic regularization of the PDE system representing the isometric immersion of a surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The regularization is geometric, and has a natural variational interpretation.

1. Introduction

In this short note, we introduce an elliptic regularization of the equations for isometric immersion of a surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (or more generally any ambient 3-manifold). Thus we exhibit a smooth curve $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \geq 0$, of differential operators which are elliptic for $\varepsilon > 0$ for which $\mathcal{D}_0$ is the operator describing isometric immersions. The existence of such a regularization is somewhat surprising, since the system of 1^{st} order equations for isometric immersions is characteristic in all directions and thus seemingly far from elliptic. The regularization $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ depends only on geometric data of the immersion.

To begin, we recall the global formulation of the problem. Let Σ be a closed, orientable 2-dimensional surface, thus a surface of genus $g \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$. Any such surface embeds in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and a general immersion

$$F : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{1.1}$$

induces a metric γ on Σ by pulling back (or restricting) the Euclidean metric g_{Eucl} to Σ via F:

$$\gamma = F^*(g_{Eucl}). \tag{1.2}$$

The isometric immersion problem is the converse; given an (abstract) Riemannian metric γ on Σ, is there an immersion F as in (1.1) for which (1.2) holds? Thus, one is asking which metrics on Σ can be "pictured" as immersed surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$. A local version of this problem, where Σ is replaced by a disc, may be formulated in the same way.

There is a very large literature on this classical problem. This short note is not the place to summarize this in any detail; we refer instead to [5], [4], [9] for background and further references. We only recall that it is a well-known and basic open question whether any smooth metric γ on a disc has a neighborhood of 0 realized by an immersion F, i.e. whether any smooth metric locally has a smooth isometric immersion into $\mathbb{R}^3$. Much less is known in general about the global isometric immersion problem for compact surfaces.

In local coordinates x^i, $i = 1, 2$, on Σ, the equation (1.2) has the form

$$\sum \partial_{x_i} F^\mu \cdot \partial_{x_j} F^\mu = \gamma_{ij} = \gamma(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_j}). \tag{1.3}$$

This is a determined system of three 1^{st} order differential equations $1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2$ for three unknown functions $F = \{F^\mu\}$, $\mu = 1, 2, 3$. A simple symbol calculation shows that (1.3) is not an elliptic system; in fact all directions on the surface are characteristic, cf. [4]. As recalled in Section 2, the failure of ellipticity is also easily seen to be a consequence of Gauss' Theorema Egregium.

It is well-known that *locally*, the isometric immersion system can be reduced to a single scalar equation, the Darboux equation

$$det D^2_\gamma u = K det\gamma (1 - |\nabla_\gamma u|^2,$$

Partially supported by NSF grant DMS 1607479.

for an unknown function $u = u(x, y)$, $|\nabla u|^2_\gamma < 1$. Here $K = K_\gamma$ is the Gauss curvature of the immersion F, and $\nabla_\gamma, D^2_\gamma$ are the gradient and Hessian with respect to γ. The function u is given locally by $u = F \cdot e$, where e is a unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^3$. This Monge-Ampere equation changes type from elliptic when $K > 0$ to hyperbolic when $K < 0$ and is degenerate at the locus $K = 0$. The Darboux equation has been the main tool used in understanding the local isometric embedding problem, but is not particularly useful for the global isometric embedding or immersion problem.

To describe the elliptic regularization, given a metric γ on a surface Σ, let $[\gamma]$ be the pointwise conformal class of γ. The full metric γ may then be decomposed into a pair

$$\gamma \sim ([\gamma], \lambda^2), \tag{1.4}$$

where λ^2 represents the conformal factor with respect to a fixed background metric γ_0 (for instance of constant curvature) in the conformal class γ. Thus, $\gamma = \lambda^2\gamma_0$. Given an immersion $F : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$, let $\gamma = F^*(g_{Eucl})$ and let $H = H_F$ denote its mean curvature.

Theorem 1.1. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, the data*

$$\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon(F) = ([\gamma], (1 - \varepsilon)\lambda^2 + \varepsilon H), \tag{1.5}$$

form a determined elliptic system for an immersion $F : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$.

When $\varepsilon = 0$, as in (1.4) the data $([\gamma], \lambda^2)$ are equivalent to the data γ, i.e. (1.5) gives the equations for an isometric immersion (1.3) when $\varepsilon = 0$. Thus one has a smooth path of differential operators $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$, elliptic for $\varepsilon > 0$, with $\mathcal{D}_0(F) = \gamma$ giving the operator for isometric immersions. The local version of Theorem 1.1 is equally valid.

In Section 3, we prove that the Fredholm index of $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ is zero, for $\Sigma = S^2$. This remains unknown for surfaces of higher genus, but some partial results on the Fredholm index are discussed in Section 3. We also exhibit a variational (or Lagrangian) formulation of the data $\mathcal{D}_0$, $\mathcal{D}_1$ and for data essentially equivalent to $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

It would be interesting to approach the isometric immersion problem by studying the behavior of the operators $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. For instance, the fact that $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ is Fredholm implies that its image is a variety of finite codimension in the target space, for all $\varepsilon > 0$. It would be of interest to understand the behavior of the kernel (and cokernel) of the linearizations $D\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, as an approach toward understanding the infinitesimal rigidity of isometric immersions - another well-known open problem. We hope to pursue these issues elsewhere.

2. Elliptic regularization

As in the Introduction, let Σ be a compact orientable surface. With minor modifications, the discussion below applies equally well to the local situation where Σ is a disc.

Let $Imm^{m+1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ be the space of $C^{m+1,\alpha}$ immersions $F : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$, where $C^{m+1,\alpha}$ is the usual Hölder space and $m \geq 1$. Let $Met^{m,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ be the space of $C^{m,\alpha}$ Riemannian metrics on Σ. Both of these spaces are Banach manifolds and one has a natural map

$$\Phi_D : Imm^{m+1,\alpha}(\Sigma) \to Met^{m,\alpha}(\Sigma), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\Phi_D(F) = \gamma = F^*(g_{Eucl}).$$

This is a smooth map of Banach manifolds, since g_{Eucl} is C^∞ smooth; cf. the expression (1.3) in local coordinates. The isometric embedding problem concerns the description or characterization of the image of Φ_D.

Remark 2.1. We recall that Gauss' Theorema Egregium is an obstruction to the map Φ_D being Fredholm, (when $m \geq 2$). (A smooth map χ is Fredholm if its linearization $D\chi$ at any point is a linear Fredholm map, i.e. $D\chi$ has finite dimensional kernel and cokernel, and is of closed range).

Namely, if $\gamma = \Pi_D(F)$, for $F \in Imm^{m+1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$, then the 2^{nd} fundamental form A of Σ is in $C^{m-1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$. Let K denote the Gauss curvature of γ. Gauss' theorem gives

$$K = detA,$$

so that $K \in C^{m-1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$. However the set of metrics $\gamma \in Met^{m,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ such that $K \in C^{m-1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ is of infinite codimension. This contradicts the Fredholm property.

Given an immersion $F \in Imm^{m+1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$, let $[\gamma]$ be the pointwise conformal class of the induced metric γ and let H be the mean curvature of F. Let $\mathcal{C}^{m,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ be the space of pointwise conformal classes of $C^{m,\alpha}$ metrics on Σ and $C^{m-1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ the space of $C^{m-1,\alpha}$ functions $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$. Define

$$\Phi_H : Imm^{m+1,\alpha}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{C}^{m,\alpha}(\Sigma) \times C^{m-1,\alpha}(\Sigma), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\Phi_H(F) = ([\gamma], H).$$

This is a smooth map of Banach manifolds, of mixed Dirichlet-Neumann type (or of mixed intrinsic-extrinsic type). Note that $[\gamma]$ is of first order in F, while H is of second order. It is proved in [3] that the data $([\gamma], H)$ form an elliptic system for F in the sense of [1]. It is worthwhile to include the short proof here.

Proposition 2.2. *The data $([\gamma], H)$ form an elliptic system for F. In particular, the map Φ_H in (2.2) is Fredholm.*

Proof: The linearization $D\Phi_H$ acts on vector fields X along the immersion F. Write $X = X^T + \nu N$, where X^T is tangent and N is normal to $\Sigma = Im(F)$. Then

$$\delta^* X = \delta^*(X^T) + \nu A + d\nu \cdot N, \tag{2.3}$$

so that $(\delta^* X)^T = \delta^* X|_{T\Sigma} = \delta^*(X^T) + \nu A$. The second term here is lower order in X and so does not contribute to the principal symbol. The principal symbol σ of the first component of $D\Phi_H$ is thus

$$\sigma([(\delta^* X)^T]_0) = \sigma([(\delta^*(X^T)]_0) = \xi_i X_j - \frac{\xi_k X_k}{2}\delta_{ij}, \tag{2.4}$$

where X_i, $i = 1, 2$ are the components of X tangent to Σ. Setting this to 0 gives

$$\xi_1 X_2 = \xi_2 X_1 = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \xi_1 X_1 = \xi_2 X_2.$$

Since $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \neq (0, 0)$, it is elementary to see that the only solution of these equations is $X_1 = X_2 = 0$. Next, for the mean curvature, one has $H'_{\delta^* X} = -\Delta\nu - |A|^2\nu + X^T(H)$, where Δ is the Laplacian with respect to the induced metric $\gamma = F^*(g_{Eucl})$ and A is the 2^{nd} fundamental form of F. The leading order symbol acting on ν is thus $|\xi|^2\nu$, which vanishes only if $\nu = 0$. Thus, the symbol of $D\Pi_H$ is elliptic, so that by the regularity theory for elliptic systems, cf. [1], $D\Pi_H$ is Fredholm. ■

In a given conformal class $[\gamma]$ of metrics on an oriented surface Σ, consider a metric of constant scalar curvature γ_0. We normalize scalar curvature to -1, 0 or $+1$. In first case, the metric γ_0 is unique. In the second case, γ_0 is unique up to a scaling, so we assume that $area(\gamma_0) = 1$. In the third case, g_0 is unique up to conformal (Möbius) transformations of S^2, i.e. the action of the conformal group $Conf(S^2)$. In this spherical case, we fix γ_0 to be the standard round metric, induced by the usual embedding of $S^2(1) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

Write then

$$\gamma = \lambda^2 \gamma_0,$$

so that, with the assumptions above, λ is uniquely determined by γ. Consider now the data

$$\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon(F) = ([\gamma], (1-\varepsilon)\lambda^2 + \varepsilon H), \tag{2.5}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$. The choice $\varepsilon = 1$ gives the data (2.2) above while $\varepsilon = 0$ gives the "Dirichlet" data, i.e. the data for an isometric immersion, as in (1.1).

Consider then the curve of maps

$$\Phi_\varepsilon : Imm^{m+1,\alpha}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{C}^{m,\alpha}(\Sigma) \times C^{m-1,\alpha}(\Sigma), \tag{2.6}$$

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(F) = ([\gamma], (1-\varepsilon)\lambda^2 + \varepsilon H).$$

This gives a smooth path from conformal/mean curvature data to isometric data.

Proposition 2.3. *For all $\varepsilon > 0$, the data* (2.5) *form an elliptic system for an immersion $F : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$. The maps Φ_ε in* (2.6) *are smooth Fredholm maps between Banach manifolds.*

Proof: The proof is exactly the same as the proof of Proposition 2.2. Note that the volume form term λ^2 is of lower differentiability order than H. The linearization of the volume form dv_γ is determined by $tr\delta^* X = divX = divX^T + \nu H$.

■

This gives an elliptic regularization of the isometric immersion problem, and so proves Theorem 1.1.

The choice of the regularizing term H in (2.5) is of course not unique; it could be replaced for instance by a non-vanishing function of H; the crucial point in obtaining an elliptic system is to have a scalar function depending on the extrinsic geometry of the immersion.

Remark 2.4. Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 holds for immersions $F : \Sigma \to (N, g)$ into any complete Riemannian 3-manifold, i.e. the ellipticity of the operator Φ_ε, $\varepsilon > 0$, is independent of the ambient Riemannian manifold.

3. Fredholm index and variational formulation

In this section, we compute the Fredholm index of the operators $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon > 0$, at least for $\Sigma = S^2$ and present initial results for the case of higher genus. We also exhibit a variational interpretation of the operators $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$, (or more precisely, essentially equivalent operators). First, note that the Fredholm index of $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$ is independent of ε, since the index is deformation invariant.

To begin, we recall that the space $Imm^{m+1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ is not connected in general; cf. [11] for example for results on the number of components of $Imm^{m+1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$. (The number is of course independent of (m, α), for $m \geq 1$). The Fredholm index of $\mathcal{D}_1$ is constant on each component of $Imm^{m+1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ (since the Fredholm index is deformation invariant), but the index may apriori vary over different components of $Imm^{m+1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$.

Now recall a famous theorem of Smale [12] which states that the space $Imm^{m+1,\alpha}(S^2)$ is connected.

Theorem 3.1. *The Fredholm index of $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, on $Imm^{m+1,\alpha}(S^2)$ equals $6 = dim(Isom(\mathbb{R}^3))$.*

Proof: It suffices to compute the index of $\mathcal{D}_1$, with data $([\gamma], H)$, on the round embedding $S^2(1) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

Note that the isometry group $Isom(\mathbb{R}^3)$ acts smoothly and freely on the space of immersions $Imm^{m+1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ via $(F, \iota) \to \iota \circ F$, corresponding to translation, rotation or reflection of F. This action fixes the target data, i.e. $\Phi_1(\iota \circ F) = \Phi_1(F)$. To remove this degeneracy, divide the space Imm by this action, and consider the quotient space Imm_b of based immersions. There is a global slice to this action, i.e. an inclusion $Imm_b \subset Imm$, given by fixing a point $p_0 \in \Sigma$, a unit vector $e \in T_{p_0}(\Sigma)$ and requiring that $F(p_0) = (0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $T_{p_0}(\Sigma) = \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and with $F_*(e) = (a, 0, 0)$ for some $a > 0$. Thus, consider the restricted mapping

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_H : Imm_b^{m+1,\alpha}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{C}^{m,\alpha}(\Sigma) \times C^{m-1,\alpha}(\Sigma), \tag{3.1}$$

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_H(F) = ([\gamma], H).$$

Theorem 3.1 then follows from the statement that the Fredholm index of $\widetilde{\Phi}_H$ equals 0.

A variation X of F is non-zero in $TImm_b^{m+1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ only if X is not the restriction of a Killing field on $\mathbb{R}^3$. As in Proposition 2.2, write $X = X^T + \nu N$. Then the variation induced on the data $([\gamma], H)$ is $([\delta^* X^T + \nu A], H'_{\delta^* X})$. Since the round embedding is umbilic and of constant mean curvature,

$$([\delta^* X^T + \nu A], H'_{\delta^* X}) = ([\delta^* X^T], L(\nu)),$$

where as above $L(\nu) = -\Delta\nu - |A|^2\nu$ is the normal variation of the mean curvature.

The kernel thus consists of non-Killing fields such that $\delta^*(X^T) = \varphi\gamma$, i.e. X^T is a conformal Killing field on $S^2(1)$, and functions ν such that $L(\nu) = 0$. The space of conformal Killing fields on $S^2(1)$ is 3-dimensional. Next, since $|A|^2 = 2$, functions ν such that $L(\nu) = 0$ are 1^{st} eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on $S^2(1)$. This also forms a 3-dimensional space, giving a total dimension of 6. However, a 3-dimensional subspace corresponds to Killing fields (the restriction of infinitesimal translations in $\mathbb{R}^3$ to $S^2(1)$). It follows that $dim Ker D\widetilde{\Phi}_H = 3$.

Regarding the cokernel, variations of data in $Im\widetilde{\Phi}_H$ are of the form $([\delta^* Y], H'_{\delta^* Y}) = ([\delta^*(Y^T)], H'_{\nu A})$. Hence the data (B_0, f) with B_0 trace-free is in the cokernel if and only if

$$\int_\Sigma \langle \delta^*(Y^T), B_0\rangle + f H'_{\nu A} = 0,$$

for all Y^T and ν. Each term must thus vanish separately. Applying the divergence theorem to the first term gives $\delta B_0 = 0$ so that B_0 is transverse-traceless. On S^2 there are no non-zero such forms (the Teichmüller space of S^2 is trivial) so that $B_0 = 0$. Next $L(f) = 0$ so that f is a 1^{st} eigenfunction of the Laplacian, with 3-dimensional eigenspace. Thus $dim Coker D\widetilde{\Phi}_H = 3$ and hence the Fredholm index of $\widetilde{\Phi}_H$ is 0.

■

Remark 3.2. The index of $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ is also independent of the Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Thus it follows that for any complete Riemannian metric g on $\mathbb{R}^3$, $dim Ker \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon \geq 6$. In particular, any immersion F realizing a given $([\gamma], H)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^3, g)$ is an element of a 6-parameter family of immersions realizing such data.

It is more difficult to analyse the kernel and cokernel of $D\widetilde{\Phi}_H$ at more general embeddings or for surfaces of higher genus. In general, the kernel consists of vector fields X such that

$$\delta^*(X^T) + \nu A = \varphi\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad H'_{\delta^* X} = 0,$$

for some function $\varphi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$. It is not easy to understand the space of solutions of this system. The cokernel consists of pairs (B_0, f) such that

$$\int_\Sigma \langle Y^T, \delta B_0\rangle + \nu\langle A, B_0\rangle + \nu L(f) + f Y^T(H) = 0,$$

for all Y^T, ν. Suppose for instance $H = const.$ It follows that B_0 is transverse-traceless and so represents a tangent vector to the Teichmüller space $T(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma))$ of Σ. This gives $dim Coker \geq dim\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$. The function f satisfies $L(f) + \langle A, B_0\rangle = 0$ but again it is difficult to evaluate the dimension of the space of solutions of this equation.

Next we show that the data (2.2), (2.1) arise as boundary data for a natural variational problem on the space of metrics on a filling of Σ. This is of independent interest, and gives a new proof and a partial generalization of Theorem 3.1 to higher genus.

Let $F : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be an embedding; then F extends to an embedding of a 3-manifold M with $\partial M = \Sigma$: $\bar{F} : M \to \mathbb{R}^3$, $\bar{F}|_{\partial M} = F$, and the pull-back $\bar{F}^*(g_{Eucl})$ induces a flat metric on M. (More

generally one may assume that F is an Alexandrov immersion, in that F extends to an immersion $\bar{F} : M \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\partial M = \Sigma$). This gives a smooth map

$$\mu : Emb^{m+1,\alpha}(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{F}^{m,\alpha}(M),$$

where $\mathbb{F}^{m,\alpha}(M)$ is the Banach manifold of flat metrics on M, $C^{m,\alpha}$ up to ∂M. Let $\mathrm{Diff}_0^{m+1,\alpha}(M)$ be the group of $C^{m+1,\alpha}$ diffeomorphisms of M which equal the identity on $\partial M = \Sigma$. This acts freely and smoothly on $\mathbb{F}^{m,\alpha}(M)$ and let $\mathcal{F}^{m,\alpha}(M)$ be the quotient space (the moduli space of flat metrics on M). The map μ induces a smooth map

$$\mu : Emb^{m+1,\alpha}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{F}^{m,\alpha}(M).$$

Now consider the smooth map

$$\Pi_H : \mathcal{F}^{m,\alpha}(M) \to \mathcal{C}^{m,\alpha}(\Sigma) \times C^{m-1,\alpha}(\Sigma),$$

$$\Pi_H(g) = ([\gamma], H). \tag{3.2}$$

Note that $\Pi_H \circ \mu = \Phi_H$ (when Π_H is restricted to flat embeddings). Similarly, one has a smooth map

$$\Pi_D : \mathcal{F}^{m,\alpha}(M) \to Met^{m,\alpha}(\Sigma),$$

$$\Pi_D(g) = \gamma, \tag{3.3}$$

with $\Pi_D \circ \mu = \Phi_D$.

To describe the variational formulation, let $Met^{m,\alpha}(M)$ be the Banach space of $C^{m,\alpha}$ metrics on M. Consider first the well-known Einstein-Hilbert action with Gibbons-Hawking-York boundary term [7], [15]. Thus let

$$I_D : Met^{m,\alpha}(M) \to \mathbb{R},$$

$$I_D(g) = \int_M R_g dV_g + 2\int_\Sigma H dv_\gamma, \tag{3.4}$$

where R_g is the scalar curvature of g and H is the mean curvature of g at $\partial M = \Sigma$ with respect to the outward normal N. The linearization of the scalar curvature R_g in the direction h is given by $R'(h) = -\Delta trh + \delta\delta h - \langle Ric, h\rangle$, while in geodesic normal coordinates near $\partial M = \Sigma$, $H'_h = \frac{1}{2}N(trh)$. From this, a straightforward computation using the divergence theorem shows that the linearization of I at g is given by

$$d(I_D)_g(h) = -\int_M \langle E_g, h\rangle dV_g - \int_{\partial M} \langle \tau, h^T\rangle dv_\gamma, \tag{3.5}$$

where $E_g = Ric_g - \frac{R_g}{2}g$ is the Einstein tensor of (M, g), $h^T = h|_{\partial M}$ and $\tau = A - H\gamma$ is the conjugate momentum (with respect to the functional I_D); the expression (3.5) is well-known, cf. [7], [15]. In particular, (3.5) shows that critical points of I_D on the space of metrics with fixed boundary metric γ on ∂M (Dirichlet data on ∂M) are flat metrics.

Essentially the same computation shows that the data $([\gamma], H)$ also arise as boundary data of a natural variational problem, using a slight modification of the Gibbons-Hawking-York boundary term. Thus as in (3.4), let

$$I_H : Met^{m,\alpha}(M) \to \mathbb{R},$$

$$I_H(g) = \int_M R_g dV_g + \int_\Sigma H dv_\gamma. \tag{3.6}$$

A similar computation as above (cf. [3]) gives

$$d(I_H)_g(h) = -\int_M \langle E_g, h\rangle dV_g - \int_\Sigma (\langle A_0, h_0\rangle + H'_h) dv_\gamma, \tag{3.7}$$

where A_0, h_0 are the trace-free parts of A and h^T respectively (with respect to γ). In particular, writing H'_h as $H(\log H)'_h$ in (3.7), one sees that $(A_0, (\log H)')$ are dual (conjugate) to the data $([\gamma], H)$ with respect to I_H. As before, critical points of I_H on the space of metrics with fixed conformal class and mean curvature are flat metrics.

The 2^{nd} variation of either of the functionals I_D or I_H leads to "self-adjoint" properties of the boundary and bulk terms. Thus, let h, k be a pair of infinitesimal flat deformations of a flat metric g on M and let $g_{s,t} = g + th + sk$. Using the equality of mixed 2^{nd} derivatives

$$\frac{\partial^2 I_D}{\partial s \partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 I_D}{\partial t \partial s}$$

leads to the relations

$$\int_{\partial M} \langle \tau'_k + a_D(k), h^T \rangle dv_\gamma = \int_{\partial M} \langle \tau'_h + a_D(h), k^T \rangle dv_\gamma,$$

where $a_D(k) = -2\tau \circ k + \frac{1}{2}(tr_\gamma k)\tau$ arises from the variation of the metric and volume form. Similarly the 2^{nd} variation of I_H on h, k gives

$$\int_\Sigma (\langle (A_0)'_k + a_H(k), h_0 \rangle + \tfrac{1}{2} H'_h tr k) dv_\gamma = \int_\Sigma (\langle (A_0)'_h + a_H(h), k_0 \rangle + \tfrac{1}{2} H'_k tr h) dv_\gamma,$$

where $a_H(k) = -2A_0 \circ k + \frac{1}{2}(tr_\gamma k)A_0$. (Here we have also used the fact that $\partial^2 H/\partial s \partial t = \partial^2 H/\partial t \partial s$).

Similarly, if h and k are any variations of the metric g, so $h, k \in T_g Met^{m,\alpha}(M)$ with either

$$h^T = k^T = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

at ∂M (for the functional I_D) or

$$([h^T], H'_h) = ([k^T], H'_k) = 0, \tag{3.9}$$

at ∂M (for the functional I_H) then

$$\int_M \langle E'_h, h \rangle = \int_M \langle h, E'_k \rangle. \tag{3.10}$$

This has the following essentially standard consequence.

Theorem 3.3. *The map Π_H is Fredholm, of Fredholm index 0.*

Proof: Given a (background) flat metric $\widetilde{g} \in \mathbb{F}^{m,\alpha}$, we work in the divergence-free gauge with respect to $\widetilde{g}$ for the action of $\text{Diff}_0^{m+1,\alpha}(M)$ on $Met^{m,\alpha}(M)$. Thus consider the divergence-gauged Einstein operator

$$\Phi(g) = E(g) + \delta^* \delta_{\widetilde{g}}(g),$$

and its linearization at $g = \widetilde{g}$,

$$2L(h) = E'(h) + \delta^* \delta h.$$

This is an elliptic operator and boundary conditions $(\delta h, [h], H'_h)$ form an elliptic boundary value problem for L; see [2] for a proof. Moreover, if $\delta h = 0$ on ∂M, then $L(h) = 0$ implies $E'(h) = 0$ and $\delta h = 0$.

Let $S_0^{m,\alpha}(M) \subset S^{m,\alpha}(M) = TMet^{m,\alpha}(M)$ be the subspace of variations h of g such that

$$\delta h = 0, \quad [h^T] = 0, \quad H'_h = 0, \tag{3.11}$$

at ∂M. It follows from (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$L : S_0^{m,\alpha}(M) \to S^{m-2,\alpha}(M),$$

is a formally self-adjoint elliptic operator. By the Fredholm alternative,

$$Im L \oplus K = S^{m-2,\alpha}(M), \tag{3.12}$$

where $K = KerL \subset S_0^{m+1,\alpha}(M)$. This gives a natural identification of the kernel and cokernel of L and in particular,

$$dimKerL = dimCokerL.$$

Now given (arbitrary) boundary data $([h^T], H') \in T(\mathcal{C}^{m,\alpha}(\Sigma) \times C^{m-1,\alpha}(\Sigma))$ with $\delta h = 0$ at Σ, let h be a $C^{m,\alpha}(M)$ extension of the boundary data $([h^T], H')$ to M. Let $z = L(h)$ and via (3.12) decompose z uniquely as $z = L(\bar{h}) + k$ with $k \in KerL$. Then $\widetilde{h} = h - \bar{h}$ satisfies $L(\widetilde{h}) = k$ and the boundary data of $\widetilde{h}$ are given by $([h^T], H')$.

This shows that there is subspace of codimension equal to $dimK$ in the space of boundary data for which there is an extension $\widetilde{h}$ such that $L(\widetilde{h}) = 0$ and hence $E'(\widetilde{h}) = 0$. It follows that the mapping (3.2) is Fredholm and of Fredholm index 0.

■

Remark 3.4. By Smale's theorem [12], Theorem 3.3 implies Theorem 3.1. However, Theorem 3.3 does not give an immediate generalization of Theorem 3.1 to surfaces of genus $g \geq 1$ since the flat metrics in $\mathcal{F}^{m,\alpha}$ may have non-trivial holonomy. The flat deformations h in (3.2) or (3.3) are *locally* of the form $h = \delta^* X$ for a vector field X, but not necessarily globally of this form. The quotient space of $\mathcal{F}^{m,\alpha}$ modulo the action of the full group $\mathrm{Diff}^{m+1,\alpha}(M)$ of $C^{m+1,\alpha}$ diffeomorphisms $\varphi : M \to M$ is the representation variety $\mathcal{R}(M)$; the space of group homomorphisms $\pi_1(M) \to Isom(\mathbb{R}^3)$, cf. [10], [14], [8].

Thus, flat deformations of immersions $\Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ typically include a deformation of the holonomy (from trivial to non-trivial). An explicit example of this behavior for $\Sigma = T^2$ is exhibited in [6].

Finally, we show that a modification for the data $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ in (2.5) also have a variational interpretation for $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. Consider then the linear combination

$$I_\varepsilon(g) = (1-\varepsilon)I_D + \varepsilon I_H = \int_M R dV_g + (2-\varepsilon)\int_{\partial M} H dv_\gamma.$$

One has $dv_\gamma = \lambda^2 dv_{\gamma_0}$, so that $trh/2 = (dv_\gamma)'/dv_\gamma = 2\lambda'/\lambda$. The variational derivative of $I_\varepsilon(h)$ is thus given by

$$d(I_\varepsilon)_g(h) = -\int_M \langle E, h\rangle dV_g - \int_\Sigma (\langle (1-\varepsilon)\tau + \varepsilon A_0, h\rangle + \varepsilon H'_h) dv_\gamma.$$

Since $\tau = A - H\gamma$, one computes $\langle (1-\varepsilon)\tau + \varepsilon A_0, h\rangle + \varepsilon H'_h = \langle A_0, h\rangle - (1-\varepsilon)\frac{H}{2}\langle \gamma, \frac{trh}{2}\gamma\rangle + \varepsilon H'_h = \langle A_0, h\rangle - (1-\varepsilon)\frac{H}{2} trh + \varepsilon H'_h$, so that

$$d(I_\varepsilon)_g(h) = -\int_M \langle E, h\rangle dV_g - \int_\Sigma (\langle A_0, h\rangle - (1-\varepsilon)\tfrac{H}{2} trh + \varepsilon H'_h) dv_\gamma.$$

Also, $(1-\varepsilon)\frac{H}{2} trh - \varepsilon H' = H((1-\varepsilon)2\lambda'/\lambda - \varepsilon H'_h/H) = H((\log \lambda^{2(1-\varepsilon)} H^{-\varepsilon})'$. Thus critical points of I_ε on metrics with fixed conformal class (so $h_0 = 0$) and with fixed product $\lambda^{2(1-\varepsilon)} H^{-\varepsilon}$ are exactly the flat metrics. In particular, the data

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_\varepsilon(F) = ([\gamma], \lambda^{2(1-\varepsilon)} H^{-\varepsilon}),$$

have a natural variational formulation analogous to that of $\mathcal{D}_D$ or $\mathcal{D}_H$. The same proof as that in Proposition 2.2 shows that this data is elliptic. Moreover, replacing the definition of $S_0^{m,\alpha}(M)$ in (3.11) by the boundary conditions

$$(\delta h, [h], (\lambda^{2(1-\varepsilon)} H^{-\varepsilon})'_h) = (0, 0, 0),$$

the same proof as that in Theorem 3.3 shows that the map

$$\Pi_\varepsilon : \mathcal{F}^{m,\alpha}(M) \to \mathcal{C}^{m,\alpha}(\Sigma) \times C^{m-1,\alpha}(\Sigma),$$

$$\Pi_\varepsilon(g) = ([\gamma], \lambda^{2(1-\varepsilon)} H^{-\varepsilon}), \tag{3.13}$$

is Fredholm, of Fredholm index 0.

With the boundary conditions defining $S_0^{m,\alpha}(M)$ in (3.11) replaced by the Dirichlet boundary conditions $\delta h = 0$ and $h^T = 0$ at ∂M, the operator L and so E' is still formally self-adjoint. However, it is no longer elliptic. It would be interesting to understand the behavior of the kernel and cokernel of L on these spaces as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

References

[1] S. Agmon, A. Douglis and L. Nirenberg, *Estimates near the boundary for the solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions, I, II*, Comm. Pure Applied Math., **12**, (1959), 623-727 and **17**, (1964), 35-92.

[2] M. Anderson, *On boundary value problems for Einstein metrics*, Geom. & Topology, **12**, (2008), 2009-2045.

[3] M. Anderson, *Conformal immersions of prescribed mean curvature in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, Nonlinear Anal., **114**, (2015), 142-157.

[4] M. Gromov, *Isometric immersions of Riemannian manifolds*, in Elie Cartan et les Mathematiques d'Aujourd-hui, Astérisque, (numero hors serie), Soc. Math. France, (1985), 129-133.

[5] Q. Han and J-X. Hong, *Isometric Embedding of Riemannian Manifolds in Euclidean Spaces*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, vol. 130, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2006).

[6] Q. Han and F.-H. Lin, *On the isometric embedding of torus in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, Methods Appl. Anal. **15**, (2008), 197-204.

[7] S. Hawking, *Euclidean quantum gravity*, in: Recent Developments in Gravitation, Cargese Lectures, Eds. M. Levy and S. Deser, (Plenum 1978), 145-173.

[8] C. D. Hodgson and S. P. Kerckhoff, *Rigidity of hyperbolic cone-manifolds and hyperbolic Dehn surgery*, Jour. Diff. Geom., **48**, (1998), 1-59.

[9] J.-X. Hong, *Some new developments of realization of surfaces into* $\mathbb{R}^3$, Proc. Int. Cong. Math., (2002), Vol III, 155-165.

[10] F. Labourie, *Lectures on Representations of Surface Groups*, Zürich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, (2013).

[11] U. Pinkall, *Regular homotopy classes of immersed surfaces*, Topology, **24**, (1985), 421-434.

[12] S. Smale, *A classification of immersions of the two-sphere*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **90**, (1958), 281-290.

[13] S. Smale, An infinite dimensional version of Sard's theorem, Amer. Jour. Math., **87**, (1965), 861-866.

[14] W. Thurston, *The Geometry and Topology of Three-Manifolds*, Princeton University Math. Dept., (1978), (available at http://msri.org/publications/books/gt3m/).

[15] J.W. York, Jr,. *Black hole thermodynamics and the Euclidean Einstein action*, Phys. Review D, **33**, (1986), 2092-2099.

Department of Mathematics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, N.Y. 11794-3651, USA
E-mail address: anderson@math.sunysb.edu
URL: http://www.math.sunysb.edu/∼anderson